

**DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT  
for the  
MODESTO SURFACE WATER TREATMENT PLANT**

**Prepared for:  
MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT**

**Prepared by:  
URS CONSULTANTS, INC.**

**October, 1989**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>1 .</b>	<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY . . . . .</b>	<b>1-1</b>
<b>2 .</b>	<b>PROJECT DESCRIPTION . . . . .</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1	Project Background and Need for Project . . . . .	2-1
2.2	Project Study Area . . . . .	2-4
2.3	Project Alternatives . . . . .	2-4
2.3.1	Alternative A (Proposed Action) . . . . .	2-10
2.3.2	Alternative B . . . . .	2-13
2.3.3	Alternative C . . . . .	2-16
2.3.4	Alternative D . . . . .	2-16
2.3.5	No-Action Alternative . . . . .	2-20
2.4	Other Alternatives Which Were Considered . . . . .	2-22
2.5	Project Permitting . . . . .	2-22
<b>3 .</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT PROJECT IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS . . . . .</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	Summary of Impacts . . . . .	3-1
3.2	Summary of Mitigation Measures . . . . .	3-6
3.2.1	Design-Related Mitigations . . . . .	3-6
3.2.2	Construction-Related Mitigations . . . . .	3-7
3.2.3	Operations-Related Mitigations . . . . .	3-11
<b>4 .</b>	<b>AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION . . . . .</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	Water Supply . . . . .	4-1
4.1.1	Existing Conditions . . . . .	4-1
4.1.2	Project Impacts . . . . .	4-7
4.1.3	Mitigation Measures . . . . .	4-15
4.2	Water Resources . . . . .	4-17
4.2.1	Existing Conditions . . . . .	4-17
4.2.2	Project Impacts . . . . .	4-30
4.2.3	Mitigation Measures . . . . .	4-41
4.3	Biological Resources . . . . .	4-43
4.3.1	Existing Conditions . . . . .	4-44
4.3.2	Project Impacts . . . . .	4-54
4.3.3	Mitigation Measures . . . . .	4-58
4.4	Geology, Soils, and Topography . . . . .	4-61
4.4.1	Existing Conditions . . . . .	4-61
4.4.2	Project Impacts . . . . .	4-65
4.4.3	Mitigation Measures . . . . .	4-67

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)**

4.5	Historical and Cultural Resources . . . . .	4-70
4.5.1	Existing Conditions . . . . .	4-71
4.5.2	Project Impacts . . . . .	4-73
4.5.3	Mitigation Measures . . . . .	4-73
4.6	Land Use . . . . .	4-75
4.6.1	Existing Conditions . . . . .	4-75
4.6.2	Project Impacts . . . . .	4-77
4.6.3	Mitigation Measures . . . . .	4-78
4.7	Farming . . . . .	4-79
4.7.1	Existing Conditions . . . . .	4-79
4.7.2	Project Impacts . . . . .	4-79
4.7.3	Mitigation Measures . . . . .	4-83
4.8	Transportation . . . . .	4-85
4.8.1	Existing Conditions . . . . .	4-85
4.8.2	Project Impacts . . . . .	4-87
4.8.3	Mitigation Measures . . . . .	4-91
4.9	Utilities . . . . .	4-92
4.9.1	Existing Conditions . . . . .	4-92
4.9.2	Project Impacts . . . . .	4-93
4.9.3	Mitigation Measures . . . . .	4-94
4.10	Air Quality . . . . .	4-95
4.10.1	Existing Conditions . . . . .	4-95
4.10.2	Project Impacts . . . . .	4-98
4.10.3	Mitigation Measures . . . . .	4-100
4.11	Noise . . . . .	4-102
4.11.1	Existing Conditions . . . . .	4-102
4.11.2	Project Impacts . . . . .	4-103
4.11.3	Mitigation Measures . . . . .	4-106
4.12	Recreation . . . . .	4-108
4.12.1	Existing Condition . . . . .	4-108
4.12.2	Project Impacts . . . . .	4-110
4.12.3	Mitigation Measures . . . . .	4-112
4.13	Visual Resources . . . . .	4-113
4.13.1	Existing Conditions . . . . .	4-113
4.13.2	Project Impacts . . . . .	4-120
4.13.3	Mitigation Measures . . . . .	4-121
4.14	Energy . . . . .	4-123
4.14.1	Existing Conditions . . . . .	4-123
4.14.2	Project Impacts . . . . .	4-123
4.14.3	Mitigation Measures . . . . .	4-125

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

5. **GROWTH INDUCEMENT** . . . . . 5-1

6. **CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**. . . . . 6-1

7. **RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LOCAL SHORT-TERM USE  
OF MAN'S ENVIRONMENT AND ENHANCEMENT OF  
LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY** . . . . . 7-1

8. **REFERENCES** . . . . . 8-1

9. **LIST OF PREPARERS** . . . . .<sup>+</sup> 9-1

10. **AGENCY AND PUBLICCOORDINATION**. . . . . 10-1

    10.1 *Notice of Preparation* . . . . . 10-2

    10.2 *Agencies Contacted* . . . . . 10-9

**Appendix A - Stanislaus County Species List**

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
3-1	Matrix of Impacts of the Alternatives	3-2
4-1	Current and Projected Municipal Water Requirements for the Project Service Area	4-7
4-2	Conductivity of Tuolumne River Water, Spring 1987 - 1988	4-22
4-3	Water Quality of the Tuolumne River at Mitchell Road	4-23
4-4	Groundwater Quality	4-28
4-5	Percent of Time that Flows Fall Below the Indicated Value	4-34
4-6	Annual Chinook Salmon Return to the Tuolumne River	4-47
4-7	Minimum Flow Standards for the Tuolumne River Below La Grange Dam	4-49
4-8	Special Plant and Animal Species With Known Occurrences in the Vicinity of Site A	4-52
4-9	Residential Development in the Modesto Sewer Service Area	4-75
4-10	Summary of Crops and Animal Products Raised in Stanislaus County - 1988	4-80
4-11	Acreages of Major Crops Grown in Stanislaus County - 1988	4-81
4-12	Exceedance of State and National Ambient Air Quality Standards in Stanislaus County	4-97
4-13	Noise Level Performance Standards	4-104
4-14	Visitor Use of the Don Pedro Reservoir in Recent Years	4-112
5-1	Recent Population Growth and Projections	5-2

## LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figures</u>	<u>Page</u>	
1-1	Alternative Treatment Plant Sites	1-1
2-1	Project Service Area	2-2
2-2	Map of Modesto Irrigation District	2-3
2-3	Tuolumne River Drainage	2-5
2-4	Alternative Treatment Plant Sites	2-7
2-5	Ground and Surface Water Supplies for Municipal Use Following Project Implementation	2-8
2-6	Water Transmission Pipelines for Alternatives A and C	2-9
2-7	Four Sites Considered for Alternative A: Modesto Reservoir Vicinity	2-11
2-8	Site B Industrial Area	2-14
2-9	Water Transmission Pipelines for Alternatives B and D	2-15
2-10	Site C: Vicinity of the Junction of Lateral 3 and Main Canal	2-17
2-11	Approximate Location of River Diversion for Alternative D	2-18
2-12	Concept Drawing for Diversion Structure and River Water Intake for Alternative D	2-19
2-13	Alternatives D1, D2, and D3	2-21
4-1	Modesto Irrigation District System Schematic	4-2
4-2	City of Modesto Monthly Water Demands During 1983	4-6
4-3	Monthly Tuolumne River Flows Below La Grange	4-19
4-4	Mean Monthly Flows in the Tuolumne River (1971 - 1985)	4-20

LIST OF FIGURES - Continued

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
4-5	Idealized Geologic Section through City of Modesto	4-25
4-6	March 1983 Groundwater Elevations	4-26
4-7	Trends in Chloride, Nitrate, Hardness, and TDS (For Modesto Wells)	4-29
4-8	Fluctuations in the Water Levels of Don Pedro Reservoir	4-37
4-9	Predicted Groundwater Elevations in 2020	4-39
4-10	Recorded Occurrences of Special Species in the Vicinity of Four Alternative A Sites	4-50
4-11	The Modified Mercalli Scale of Earthquake Intensities	4-63
4-12	Modesto Circulation Network	4-86
4-13	Stream Related Parks in the Modesto Area	4-109
4-14	View of Site A1 Looking Northeast	4-114
4-15	Almond Grove at Site A2	4-114
4-16	Looking North Toward Site A3 (Middle Distance)	4-115
4-17	Site A4 as Viewed from Highway 132	4-115
4-18	View East from Garner Road at Alternative B Along Laternal No. 1	4-117
4-19	View South of Garner Road and Industrial Area	4-117
4-20	View South from Alternative C - Typical Rural Landscape	4-118
4-21	View East from Alternative C of Adjacent Residences	4-118
4-22	Typical Riparian Vegetation Along Tuolumne River in Vicinity of the Diversion Site	4-119

4-23	View Southeast from River Bluff Toward Alternative D Diversion Site	4-119
5-1	Population Projections for Stanislaus County	5-3